



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,732	05/11/2001	David L. Huie	9326.001.00	4996	
30827 7590 09/01/2006		EXAMINER			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			KNOWLIN, THJUAN P		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/852,732	HUIE, DAVID L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thjuan P. Knowlin	2614	

	Thjuan P. Knowlin	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 August 2006</u>. A brid the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repliance.</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	· ·	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		jected claims.	
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21,24-26 and 28-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Attachment.</u></li> </ol>	,		nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	6 101	No(s)	
مر	Strigter Char-	_ Examiner: Thjuan	P Knowlin
SUPE	RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	Phone: (571) 272-	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 09/852,732

Art Unit: 2614

1. Applicant's arguments filed 07/05/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 2

- 2. In regards to claims 1, 6, 20, and 24, Applicants argue that the prior art (Jones et al US 6,195,422) fail to teach or suggest the limitation of "sending an initial address message having a *call forwarding* redirection counter set to a maximum allowed value at an origination switch." However, this limitation was added to the claims after the Final Rejection, therefore, requiring further consideration and/or search by the Examiner. Therefore, the arguments concerning claims 1, 6, 20, and 24, will not be addressed by the Examiner at this time.
- 3. In regards to claims 8 and 14, Applicants argue that the prior art (Jones et al) fail to teach or suggest the limitation of "determining whether a call is a forwarded call, wherein determining includes sending a first initial address message having a redirection counter set to a maximum allowed value." Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Jones et al do teach and suggest determining whether a call is a forwarded call (for example, determining whether or not the call has been routed) (See col. 8 lines 39-55), wherein determining includes sending a first initial address message (e.g. IAM message) having a redirection counter set to a maximum allowed value (for example, the maximum allowed value for the redirection counter in the present invention, reads on the "redirection number" in Jones et al) (See col. 11-12 lines 56-6).